

**Attendees:**

EPA: Jillian Adair, Micka Peck, Greg Voigt, Natalie Sanchez

DOEE: Jonathan Champion, George Onyullo, Ed Dunne

MDE: Melissa Chatham, Anna Kasko, Greg Busch

**Meeting Notes:**

- 1) Status Report due to the Courts on 7/1/2019
  - a. The draft report states that MDE, DOEE, and EPA have monthly conference calls and continue to analyze the available data. It also mentions MDE's and DOEE's intention to host a public process to propose an approach for the development of a TMDL endpoint to stakeholders and solicit feedback.
- 2) Discussion on public process to propose an approach for the development of a TMDL endpoint
  - a. MDE and DOEE again confirmed that they intend to host an informal public process to present an approach for the development of a TMDL endpoint to stakeholders. MDE and DOEE will likely share a document with stakeholders several weeks before a scheduled public meeting. MDE, DOEE, and EPA will work cooperatively on developing supporting materials to explain the proposed approach.
  - b. COG agreed to host the public meeting. We will wait to schedule until we discuss details of the proposed TMDL endpoint and have supporting materials prepared.
  - c. Supporting materials for public meeting
    - i. EPA has drafted a short document for MDE and DOEE to review and edit. The intention is to share with stakeholders after finalizing. The document is currently undergoing internal review. We hope to send it to MDE and DOEE this week for your review/edits.
    - ii. DOEE prepared an empty table that lays out TMDL endpoint options as rows and their impact to strategy, implementation, monitoring, and compliance determinations as columns. This could be a useful exercise for us to better understand the details of the TMDL endpoint. DOEE will begin filling the table and will send to MDE and EPA next week for our review/edits. The agencies will discuss internally in preparation for the next conference call.
  - d. Regarding DC Water's letter to EPA discussing their thoughts on trash TMDL development and their request to be involved in the TMDL development process, we will need to decide if this public process addresses their concerns or if we need to engage in additional and separate conversations.
- 3) DOEE's analysis of San Francisco trash generation rates applied in DC portion of the Anacostia
  - a. San Francisco trash generation rates were not generally comparable to the Anacostia baseline loading rates due to differences in calculation and land use classification. For instance, residential land-use rates were determined by income and transportation land-use rates, which had high trash generation rates in the Anacostia baseline load, were not assigned loads in the San Francisco study. Where comparisons were possible, Anacostia baseline loading rates were substantially higher than those from the San Francisco study.

4) TMDL Implementation

- a. MDE is working to revise their guidance on developing implementation plans for stormwater wasteload allocations. This effort could help inform the development of the trash TMDL especially since we may add information concerning TMDL implementation as part of the TMDL report. MDE is working with COG through this process.

5) Next Steps

- a. Anna will send a link to MDE's guidance on developing implementation plans for stormwater wasteload allocations.
- b. Jillian will send the draft proposed approach for the development of a TMDL endpoint document after internal review.
- c. Jillian will send the status report to MDE and DOEE after it has been filed with the court.
- d. Jonathan will send results of DOEE's analysis of San Francisco's trash generation rates applied in the DC portion of the Anacostia.
- e. George will send a draft of the TMDL endpoint detail table.